PTO/SB/21 (04-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRA	NSN	/IT	ΓAL
	FOF	MS	

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/668,117		
Filing Date	September 22, 2003		
First Named Inventor	Toshitaka Mori et al.		
Art Unit	2879	-	
Examiner Name	Ashok Patel		
Attorney Docket Number	1300-000003		

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal F	orm	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)			
		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Reply		Petition			peal Communication to TC peal Notice, Brief,		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		☐ Pro	oprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter			
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			ther Enclosure(s) ease identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement							
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750.					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Michael E. Hilton		Reg. No. 33,509		
Signature	Milul 9 det						
Date	November 20, 2006						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							

Express Mail EV 757 778 383 US (11/20/2006) Typed or printed name Michael E. Hilton Label No. Date November 20, 2006 This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,

preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/668,117

Filing Date:

September 22, 2003

Applicant:

Toshitaka Mori et al.

Group Art Unit:

2879

Examiner:

Ashok Patel

Title:

DISPLAY ELEMENT AND METHOD FOR PRODUCING

THE SAME

Attorney Docket:

1300-000003

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of

features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 20, 2006

Michael E. Hilton Reg. No. 33,509

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

MEH/jlk